UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/702,540	11/07/2003	Vincent So	79865-5 /aba	8250
7380 SMART & BIC	7590 11/10/200 GGAR	EXAM	IINER	
P.O. BOX 2999	, STATION D	AGWUMEZIE, CHARLES C		
900-55 METCALFE STREET OTTAWA, ON K1P 5Y6			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA			3685	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/10/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
10/702,540	SO, VINCENT
	Art Unit
CALVIN L. HEWITT II	3685

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Rev	view filed 17 September 2009.
 Improper Request – The Request is improper ar reason(s): 	nd a conference will not be held for the following
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concur ☐ The request does not include reasons why a re ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Proposed ☐ Other: 	view is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run fr the mail date of the last Office communication, if no No	
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interheld. The application remains under appeal because the is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decrunning from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based u of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	nere is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant in 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal cision, or the balance of the two-month time period ever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the
☐ The panel has determined the status of the clack Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 16-23,34 and 54-56. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	aim(s) is as follows:
3. Allowable application – A conference has been Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits rerapplicant at this time.	
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been haction will be mailed. No further action is required by a	
All participants:	
(1) <u>/CALVIN L. HEWITT II/</u> .	(3) <u>Vincent Millin/vm/</u> <u>Appeals Conference Specialist</u> .
(2) /Charlie C Agwumezie/.	(4)